

Expert information

from the Working Group on Hygiene

Risks of infection from biological materials

Status: June 2015

Author: Werner Nicklas, DKFZ Heidelberg

GV-SOLAS, Working Group on Hygiene, Risks of infection from biological materials

Exclusion of Liability

The use of the booklets (publications) and statements of GV-SOLAS and the implementation of the information contained therein are expressly at your own risk. GV-SOLAS and the authors cannot be held responsible for any accidents or damage of any kind arising from the use of the publication (e.g. due to lack of safety information), irrespective of their legal grounds. Liability claims against GV-SOLAS and the authors for any damage of material or immaterial nature caused by the use or non-use of the information or the use of incorrect and/or incomplete information are generally excluded. Legal and damage claims are therefore excluded.

The publication including all content has been compiled with the greatest care. However, GV-SOLAS and the authors assume no liability for the topicality, correctness, completeness of quality of the information provided. Printing errors and false information cannot be completely excluded. The GV-SOLAS and the authors do not assume any liability for the topicality, correctness and completeness of the contents of the book, as well as for printing errors. GV SOLAS and the authors cannot assume any legal responsibility or liability in any nature for any incorrect information and the resulting consequences.

Only the owners of the websites printed in these publications are responsible for the contents of these Internet pages. GV-SOLAS and the authors therefore expressly dissociate themselves from all third-party contents. Liable in accordance with the German press laws: the Board of Directors of GV-SOLAS.

How are infectious agents introduced into an animal facility?

In order to keep laboratory animal colonies and units, especially of rodents, free from unwanted microorganisms, all potential sources of infection must be identified. There is no doubt that infected animals represent the highest risk. All biological materials originating from such animals (e.g. serum, ascitic fluid, tumours, organ explants, cells, fertilized eggs, embryos, sperm) may also be contaminated if they have been taken from an infected organism. Such materials must, therefore, also be considered as possible sources of infection. Even samples from human origin may be contaminated by rodent microorganisms after animal passages. The documentation about the history of biological materials, even if they originate from commercial vendors or from culture collections, is frequently fragmentary. It is therefore advisable to test such samples for contamination before use.

Which agents may be introduced?

.....

Viruses are frequently transmitted by biological materials, but also bacteria (e.g., *Pasteurella pneumotropica*, (Simpson et al., 1980), *Helicobacter hepaticus* (Goto et al., 2001) and others (Criley et al., 2001) as well as parasites (*Encephalitozoon cuniculi* (Petri, 1965)) have been detected as contaminants. Some murine viruses, like minute virus of mice (MVM), K virus, Theiler's murine encephalomyelitis virus and mouse adenovirus, were first isolated from contaminated virus pools. Polyoma virus, mouse parvovirus (MPV), Kilham rat virus (KRV) and Toolan's H-I virus were found originally in contaminated tumours or cells. The most recently published outbreaks of ectromelia in laboratory mice caused by contaminated sera also underline the immense risk of agent transmission by biological materials (Dick et al., 1996, Lipman et al., 2000b, Labelle et al., 2009).

A risk of infection exists also for humans. For example, the lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) (Bhatt et al., 1986, Dykewicz et al., 1992) and hantaviruses (Yamanishi et al., 1983) have been found in rodent tumours. Reports of human infections caused by contact

with biological materials exist for both viruses (Biggar et al., 1977, Bowen et al., 1975, Kawamata et al., 1987). There is a potential risk for infection in humans when handling as well as using biological material therapeutically (e.g. monoclonal antibodies) (Carthew, 1986, Harbour and Woodhouse, 1990). Therefore, all biological material must be tested for viruses before use.

Storage of contaminated biological material at low temperatures (deep freezing) does not reduce infectivity. Therefore, long-stored samples can be hazardous and may represent a serious health risk for animals and humans. Other agents, despite the lack of clinical symptoms in animals or humans, can still influence the results of animal experiments, leading to misinterpretations and to the need to repeat experiments (Peterson, 2008). Examples of this are parvoviruses [e.g., MVM, MPV, KRV, rat minute virus (RMV)] (Guetta et al., 1986, Moody et al., 2011) and, not to be forgotten, lactate dehydrogenase elevating virus (LDV) (Riley, 1974). LDV is a frequent contaminant of biological material originating from mice. Published data show that LDV can be present in a high percentage (up to 70%) of transplantable tumours (Collins and Parker, 1972, Nicklas et al., 1993). As this virus causes a lifelong viraemia, inevitably all material originating from LDV-infected mice is contaminated with the virus.

Can infectious agents be eliminated from contaminated samples?

In general, it may be possible to decontaminate biological material contaminated with viruses. The choice of procedure strongly depends upon the material itself and upon the virus involved. In case of cell-free samples, i.e. serum or ascitic fluid, physical or biochemical procedures are often suitable to render the material virus- or agent-free. Cellular material like transplantable tumours may be suitable for sanitation by transplantation in a host species which is refractory to the contaminating virus (Rülicke et al., 1991, Dagnaes-Hansen and Horsman, 2005, Takakura et al., 2000, Nakai et al., 2000). In the case of LDV, *in vitro* cultivation of contaminated cells is the most reliable method for elimination of this virus (Plagemann and Swim, 1966); other methods have also been published (Liu et al., 2011). In many cases, however, the elimination of an agent will not be possible, or will cause considerable effort and costs.

How can biological materials be tested for contamination?

Prevention and screening methods for early diagnosis of contamination are thus of high priority and importance. It is strongly advised that materials of animal origin, which bear a potential risk of infection, should be monitored for contamination prior to use in animal experiments. Material of human origin which may be contaminated should be handled similarly. Only biological material which has been proven to be free of infectious agents should be used. Testing is recommended if a certificate of harmlessness is not available for a sample or for a defined batch.

The so-called mouse/rat antibody production test (MAP/RAP-test) has been used for decades to detect or exclude contamination by infectious agents (viruses, bacteria,

parasites) (Collins and Parker, 1972, Nicklas et al., 1993, Lewis and Clayton, 1971). This test relies on the production of antibodies against infectious agents contaminating a sample. The material to be tested is injected into agent- and antibody-free animals, and 3 to 4 weeks later blood samples from these animals are examined for antibodies against likely agents.

Several methods exist in addition to the MAP/RAP-test to screen for contamination. These are, e.g., cell culture techniques (Desouza and Smith, 1989) and molecular methods, especially polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Bauer et al., 2004, Blank et al., 2004, Bootz and Sieber, 2002, Bootz et al., 2003, Morse, 1990, Yagami et al., 1995, Bootz and Wolf, 2007). Agent detection or exclusion by PCR is cheaper and faster to conduct as MAP testing. In addition, use of live animals is not necessary. However, these methods are not yet generally established, and MAP/RAP-testing may in specific cases be superior to PCR (Lipman et al., 2000a). In addition, PCR does not provide information about the infectivity of an agent contaminating a sample because both active and inactivated agents are detected. Tests for bacterial contamination can easily be conducted by traditional culture techniques. Exclusion of human pathogens from samples of human origin (e.g., hepatitis viruses, HIV) should be self-evident.

Mycoplasma species most commonly found in cell cultures (including ES cells) are primarily of bovine, porcine or human origin. They are in most cases apathogenic for laboratory animals (mouse, rat) and are usually eliminated by macrophages during animal passages, even in immunodeficient animals like nude mice. However, Mycoplasma species infecting rodents (e.g., *M. pulmonis*) have also been detected after *in vitro*-passages of cells (Nicklas et al., 1993). But also non-rodent mycoplasma species should not be tolerated as they can influence numerous cell functions and may have impact on animals or manipulations with animals (e.g., breeding efficiency) (Markoullis et al., 2009, Boslett et al., 2014). Mycoplasma detection is best conducted by PCR.

Literature

- BAUER, B. A., BESCH-WILLIFORD, C. L. & RILEY, L. K. 2004. Comparison of the mouse antibody production (MAP) assay and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays for the detection of viral contaminants. *Biologicals*, 32, 177-182.
- BHATT, P. N., JACOBY, R. O. & BARTHOLD, S. W. 1986. Contamination of transplantable murine tumors with lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus. *Lab Anim Sci*, 36, 136-9.
- BIGGAR, R. J., SCHMIDT, T. J. & WOODALL, J. P. 1977. Lymphocytic choriomeningitis in laboratory personnel exposed to hamsters inadvertently infected with LCM virus. *J Am Vet Med Assoc,* 171, 829-32.
- BLANK, W. A., HENDERSON, K. S. & WHITE, L. A. 2004. Virus PCR assay panels: An alternative to the mouse antibody production test. *Lab Animal*, 33, 26-32.
- BOOTZ, F. & SIEBER, I. 2002. [Replacement of mouse and rat antibody production test; comparison of sensitivity between the in vitro and in vivo methods]. *ALTEX*, 19 Suppl 1, 76-86.
- BOOTZ, F., SIEBER, I., POPOVIC, D., TISCHHAUSER, M. & HOMBERGER, F. R. 2003. Comparison of the sensitivity of in vivo antibody production tests with in vitro PCRbased methods to detect infectious contamination of biological materials. *Lab Anim*, 37, 341-51.
- BOOTZ, F. O. & WOLF, F. R. 2007. Animalfree screening of biological materials for contamination by rodent viruses. *ALTEX*, 24 Spec No, 19-21.
- BOSLETT, B., NAG, S. & RESNICK, A. 2014. Detection and antibiotic treatment of Mycoplasma arginini contamination in a mouse epithelial cell line restore normal cell physiology. *Biomed Res Int*, 2014, 532105.
- BOWEN, G. S., CALISHER, C. H., WINKLER, W. G., KRAUS, A. L., FOWLER, E. H., GARMAN, R. H., FRASER, D. W. & HINMAN, A. R. 1975. Laboratory studies of a lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus outbreak in man and laboratory animals. *Am J Epidemiol*, 102, 233-40.
- CARTHEW, P. 1986. Is Rodent Virus Contamination of Monoclonal-Antibody Preparations for Use in Human Therapy a Hazard. *Journal of General Virology*, 67, 963-974.
- COLLINS, M. & PARKER, J. 1972. Murine virus contaminants of leukemia viruses and transplantable tumors. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 49, 1139.
- CRILEY, J. M., CARTY, A. J., BESCH-WILLIFORD, C. L. & FRANKLIN, C. L. 2001. Coxiella burnetii infection in C.B-17 scid-bg mice xenotransplanted with fetal bovine tissue. *Comparative Medicine*, 51, 357-360.
- DAGNAES-HANSEN, F. & HORSMAN, M. R. 2005. Experience with mouse hepatitis virus sanitation in three transplantable murine tumour lines. *Lab Anim,* 39, 394-9.
- DESOUZA, M. & SMITH, A. L. 1989. Comparison of Isolation in Cell-Culture with Conventional and Modified Mouse Antibody-Production Tests for Detection of Murine Viruses. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 27, 185-187.
- DICK, E. J., JR., KITTELL, C. L., MEYER, H., FARRAR, P. L., ROPP, S. L., ESPOSITO, J. J., BULLER, R. M., NEUBAUER, H., KANG, Y. H. & MCKEE, A. E. 1996. Mousepox outbreak in a laboratory mouse colony. *Lab Anim Sci*, 46, 602-11.
- DYKEWICZ, C. A., DATO, V. M., FISHER-HOCH, S. P., HOWARTH, M. V., PEREZ-ORONOZ, G. I., OSTROFF, S. M., GARY, H., JR., SCHONBERGER, L. B. & MCCORMICK, J. B. 1992. Lymphocytic choriomeningitis outbreak associated with nude mice in a research institute. *JAMA*, 267, 1349-53.
- GOTO, K., ISHIHARA, K. I., KUZUOKA, A., OHNISHI, Y. & ITOH, T. 2001. Contamination of transplantable human tumor-bearing lines by Helicobacter hepaticus and its elimination. *J Clin Microbiol*, 39, 3703-4.
- GUETTA, E., GRAZIANI, Y. & TAL, J. 1986. Suppression of Ehrlich Ascites Tumors in Mice by Minute Virus of Mice. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 76, 1177-1180.
- HARBOUR, C. & WOODHOUSE, G. 1990. Viral Contamination of Monoclonal-Antibody Preparations - Potential Problems and Possible Solutions. *Cytotechnology*, 4, 3-12.

- KAWAMATA, J., YAMANOUCHI, T., DOHMAE, K., MIYAMOTO, H., TAKAHASKI, M., YAMANISHI, K., KURATA, T. & LEE, H. W. 1987. Control of laboratory acquired hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome (HFRS) in Japan. *Lab Anim Sci*, 37, 431-6.
- LABELLE, P., HAHN, N. E., FRASER, J. K., KENDALL, L. V., ZIMAN, M., JAMES, E., SHASTRI, N. & GRIFFEY, S. M. 2009. Mousepox detected in a research facility: case report and failure of mouse antibody production testing to identify Ectromelia virus in contaminated mouse serum. *Comp Med*, 59, 180-6.
- LEWIS, V. J. & CLAYTON, D. M. 1971. Evaluation of Mouse Antibody Production Test for Detecting 3 Murine Viruses. *Laboratory Animal Science*, 21, 203-&.
- LIPMAN, N. S., HENDERSON, K. & SHEK, W. 2000a. False negative results using RT-PCR for detection of lactate dehydrogenase-elevating virus in a tumor cell line. *Comp Med*, 50, 255-6.
- LIPMAN, N. S., PERKINS, S., NGUYEN, H., PFEFFER, M. & MEYER, H. 2000b. Mousepox resulting from use of ectromelia virus-contaminated, imported mouse serum. *Comp Med*, 50, 426-35.
- LIU, H., BOCKHORN, J., DALTON, R., CHANG, Y. F., QIAN, D., ZITZOW, L. A., CLARKE, M. F. & GREENE, G. L. 2011. Removal of lactate dehydrogenase-elevating virus from human-in-mouse breast tumor xenografts by cell-sorting. *J Virol Methods*, 173, 266-70.
- MARKOULLIS, K., BULIAN, D., HOLZLWIMMER, G., QUINTANILLA-MARTINEZ, L., HEILIGER, K. J., ZITZELSBERGER, H., SCHERB, H., MYSLIWIETZ, J., UPHOFF, C. C., DREXLER, H. G., ADLER, T., BUSCH, D. H., SCHMIDT, J. & MAHABIR, E. 2009. Mycoplasma contamination of murine embryonic stem cells affects cell parameters, germline transmission and chimeric progeny. *Transgenic Res*, 18, 71-87.
- MOODY, M., ALVES, W., VARGHESE, J. & KHAN, F. 2011. Mouse Minute Virus (MMV) Contamination--A Case Study: Detection, Root Cause Determination, and Corrective Actions. *PDA J Pharm Sci Technol*, 65, 580-8.
- MORSE, S. S. 1990. Comparative Sensitivity of Infectivity Assay and Mouse Antibody-Production (Map) Test for Detection of Mouse Thymic Virus (Mtlv). *Journal of Virological Methods*, 28, 15-24.
- NAKAI, N., KAWAGUCHI, C., NAWA, K., KOBAYASHI, S., KATSUTA, Y. & WATANABE, M. 2000. Detection and elimination of contaminating microorganisms in transplantable tumors and cell lines. *Exp Anim*, 49, 309-13.
- NICKLAS, W., KRAFT, V. & MEYER, B. 1993. Contamination of transplantable tumors, cell lines, and monoclonal antibodies with rodent viruses. *Lab Anim Sci*, 43, 296-300.
- PETERSON, N. C. 2008. From Bench to Cageside: Risk Assessment for Rodent Pathogen Contamination of Cells and Biologics. *Ilar Journal*, 49, 310-315.
- PETRI, M. 1965. A Cytolytic Parasite in Cells of Transplantable Malignant Tumours. *Nature*, 205, 302-&.
- PLAGEMANN, P. G. & SWIM, H. E. 1966. Relationship between the lactic dehydrogenaseelevating virus and transplantable murine tumors. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med*, 121, 1142-6.
- RILEY, V. 1974. Biological Contaminants and Scientific Misinterpretations. *Cancer Research*, 34, 1752-1754.
- RÜLICKE, T., HASSAM, S., AUTENRIED, P. & BRINER, J. 1991. The elimination of mouse hepatitis virus by temporary transplantation of human tumors from infected athymic nude mice into athymic nude rats (rnuN/rnuN). *J Exp Anim Sci*, 34, 127-31.
- SIMPSON, W., SIMMONS, D. J. & DAVIES, A. J. 1980. Effect of Pasteurella pneumotropica on the growth of transplanted Walker sarcoma cells. *Br J Cancer*, 42, 473-76.
- TAKAKURA, A., OHNISHI, Y., ITOH, T., YOSHIMURA, M., URANO, K. & UEYAMA, Y. 2000. Decontamination of human xenotransplantable tumor with mouse hepatitis virus by implantation in nude rat: A case report. *Experimental Animals*, 49, 39-41.
- YAGAMI, K., GOTO, Y., ISHIDA, J., UENO, Y., KAJIWARA, N. & SUGIYAMA, F. 1995. Polymerase Chain-Reaction for Detection of Rodent Parvoviral Contamination in Cell-Lines and Transplantable Tumors. *Laboratory Animal Science*, 45, 326-328.

YAMANISHI, K., DANTAS, J. R., TAKAHASHI, M., YAMANOUCHI, T., DOMAE, K., KAWAMATA, J. & KURATA, T. 1983. Isolation of Hemorrhagic-Fever with Renal Syndrome (Hfrs) Virus from a Tumor Specimen in a Rat. *Biken Journal*, 26, 155-160.